UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/596,316  | 06/09/2006      | Rifat A.M. Hikmet    | GB 030219             | 5319             |
| 24737 7590 04/03/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS |                 | EXAMINER             |                       |                  |
| P.O. BOX 3001   |                 |                      | SPECTOR, DAVID N      |                  |
| BRIARCLIFF  | MANOR, NY 10510 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
|   |                 |                      | 2873                  |                  |
|   |                 |                      |                       |                  |
|   |                 |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                 |                      | 04/03/2009            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |      |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|------|--|--|--|
|  | 10/596,316  | HIKMET ET AL.   |      |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |      |  |  |  |
|  | David N. Spector  | 2873  |      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence addres  | ss   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |      |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Se</u>   | eptember 2006.  |   |      |  |  |  |
|  | _ · · · ·   |   |      |  |  |  |
| <i>i</i>   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | vn from consideration   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requirement  |   |      |  |  |  |
| Olam (3) 1 41 are subject to restriction and/or e  | notion requirement.   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | r.  |   |      |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-1  | 152. |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | -(d) or (f).  |      |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received.   |   |      |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   | on No.  |      |  |  |  |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior   |   |   | ne   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | •   | a in this Hatishai Gta  | 90   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |      |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Attachmont(s)  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)   |      |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | ate   |      |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | 5) Notice of Informal P   |   |      |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) 🛛 Other: <u>NPL docume</u>   | <u>rit Giled OH PTO-892</u> .                                     |      |  |  |  |

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

<u>Claims 1-31</u> (Group I) drawn to a collimator panel comprising oriented particles and method of orienting the particle in the panel .

<u>Claims 32-41</u> (Group II) drawn to a method of manufacturing elongate particles by a lithographic process

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Independent claims 1 and 22 cover a collimator panel and its manufacturing method. The subject-matter defined therein regards the problem of providing a directional filter for selecting a viewing angle. Similarly, independent claims 32 and 37 refer to a method of manufacturing elongate particles which are suitable for suspending in panels but not limited to this specific application. The method described is generic in nature and is not limited to the apparatus and manufacturing method of claims 1 and 22. The problem solved by these claims is only limited to the manufacturing of elongate particles with dimensions achievable by lithographic processes. The only special technical feature common to the two groups of claims is elongate particles suitable for collimator panels. As noted in the International Preliminary Report on Patentability (e.g. Page 9) prepared for the parent (PCT/IB/2004/052708) of the instant National Stage, this single common special technical feature is known from the prior art as all documents cited as X-references for the first invention comprise elongate particles suspended in a collimator panel. The requisite unity of invention (Rule 13.1 PCT) therefore no longer exists inasmuch as a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT does not exist between the subject-matter of the above-mentioned groups of inventions.

A telephone call was made to Michael E. Marion, on 30 March 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2873 OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A copy of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability prepared for the parent (PCT/IB/2004/052708) of the instant National Stage by the International Bureau of WIPO on 12 June 2006, is attached to this Offi9ce action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any other inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Spector whose telephone number is (571) 272-2338. The examiner can normally be reached at this number during normal business hours, Monday through Friday. The Official FAX number for the United States Patent and Trademark Office is (571) 273-8300.

/David N. Spector/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873